

wlo

**SUPERIOR COURT, STATE OF ARIZONA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
YAVAPAI**

GEORGE W. HANCE, et al., <p style="text-align: center;">Plaintiffs,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">-vs-</p> WALES ARNOLD, et ux., et al., In the matter of VERDE DITCH COMPANY, <p style="text-align: center;">Defendants.</p>	Case No. P1300CV4772 RULING	<p style="text-align: center;">FILED</p> DATE: <u>JUL 31 2015</u> ✓ <u>4:00</u> O'Clock <u> </u> P.M. DONNA McQUALITY, CLERK BY: <u>R. Chamberlain</u> Deputy
--	---	---

HONORABLE DAVID L. MACKEY DIVISION 1	BY: Rosie Flores Judicial Assistant DATE: July 31, 2015
---	---

The Court has considered the Notice Of Filing Second Verde Ditch Information Request Received From Peter J. Mollick which was filed on July 27, 2015.

The Court finds that the names and addresses of the shareholders of the Verde Ditch are set forth in the Court file in the Proof Of Mailing By the Verde Ditch Company filed on March 17, 2015. The Court further finds that since the request of Peter Mollick is for the purpose of the litigation pending before this Court, since the Verde Ditch Company is organized pursuant to the orders of this Court and since the information is available in the public Court file, A.R.S. §10-2002 and §10-1602 do not apply.

IT IS ORDERED the Verde Ditch Company need not comply with Mr. Mollick's request for information dated July 15, 2015 since the request of Peter Mollick is for the purpose of the litigation pending before this Court, since the Verde Ditch Company is organized pursuant to the orders of this Court and since the information is available in the public Court file.

However, this Court is troubled that Mr. Mollick believes he is competent to represent other shareholders of the Verde Ditch and provide them with his views regarding this matter. The information Mr. Mollick has provided to this Court does not reflect that he is a licensed attorney in Arizona or that he has any other qualification that would entitle him to advise shareholders. Mr. Mollick's filings with the Court to date reflect a lack of understanding of court rules and legal proceedings as well as a misunderstanding of the authority of a shareholder of the Verde Ditch and the Court's authority over the Verde Ditch. Mr. Mollick also has not provided the Court with any information that suggests that he has any type of liability insurance to cover

claims of other shareholders should his "advice" cause them to lose water rights that could have been retained through this process. The record does reflect that Mr. Mollick has strong opinions about this matter; however, those strong opinions do not entitle Mr. Mollick to offer advice or otherwise solicit Verde Ditch shareholders.

Since Mr. Mollick is not only under the jurisdiction of the Court as a Verde Ditch shareholder but also has submitted himself to the jurisdiction of this Court by filing objections and seeking relief from this Court, this Court finds that it is authorized under Arizona law to exert jurisdiction over Mr. Mollick by precluding him from soliciting or otherwise offering to represent Verde Ditch shareholders. Furthermore, the Court finds that given Mr. Mollick's lack of information regarding the matters before this Court, Mr. Mollick's lack of qualifications and Mr. Mollick's lack of liability insurance, he should be precluded from engaging in any direct mail or electronic mail campaign with Verde Ditch shareholders unless the information to be provided to the shareholders is first approved by the Court.

IT IS ORDERED Peter J. Mollick, 3124 W. Sunnyside Ave., Phoenix, AZ 85029, is enjoined from soliciting or otherwise offering to represent Verde Ditch shareholders and Mr. Mollick is further enjoined from engaging in any direct mail or electronic mail campaign with Verde Ditch shareholders unless the information to be provided to the shareholders is first approved by the Court.

The Court finds that whether or not a shareholder has noticed their appearance in these proceedings that all the shareholders of the Verde Ditch should be provided access to the Court file through the internet and that the Clerk of Court is able to provide that access through the Clerk of Court website if the case is established as a high profile case.

IT IS ORDERED the Clerk of Court is authorized to establish a high profile case web site for public access to this case file.

The Court further finds that the number of parties who have noticed their appearance is beginning to grow and could get larger as the matters currently before the Court progress. Therefore, the Court finds that orders should be entered for the electronic exchange of information between the parties. However, this Court is not yet able to accept electronic filing of court documents so those filings with still have to be done with paper.

IT IS ORDERED by August 14, 2015 or at the time of filing an initial pleading or motion with the Court, whichever is sooner, all parties and attorneys appearing in this case **SHALL** designate and maintain an e-mail address with the Clerk of the Court **and** the other parties. The e-mail address will be used to electronically distribute any document, including minute entries and other orders, rulings, and notices described in Rule 125, *Rules of the Supreme Court* by e-mail or electronic link in lieu of distribution of paper versions by regular mail. The e-mail address shall be designated on each document filed. In the event that a party's e-mail address changes, that change shall immediately be brought to the attention of the Clerk of Superior Court and the other parties.

IT IS ORDERED that the Clerk of the Superior Court is authorized to electronically distribute any document, including minute entries and other orders, rulings, and notices described in Rule 125, *Rules of the Supreme Court* by e-mail or electronic link in lieu of distribution of paper versions by regular mail.

IT IS ORDERED, with the exception that originals of all documents must be filed with the Clerk of the Court in traditional paper format, all parties are authorized to transmit documents to all other parties in electronic format and shall attach to the original document filed with Clerk of Court a notice that the document was transmitted electronically to the other parties along with a list of the names of the parties, the e-mail addresses to which electronic transmission was sent and the date of transmission.

IT IS ORDERED any party who declines to provide the Clerk of the Court and the other parties with an e-mail address **MAY** be assessed the actual cost of mailing.

cc: L. Richard Mabery, Law Offices of Richard L. Mabery, PC (e)
John B. Weldon, Jr./Mark A. McGinnis, SALMON, LEWIS & WELSON, PLC
2850 East Camelback Road, Suite 200, Phoenix, AZ 85016
Douglas E. Brown/David A. Brown/J Albert Brown, BROWN & BROWN LAW (e)
Patrick Berry, U.S. Department of Justice, Indian Resources Section, ENRD
P.O. Box 7611, Ben Franklin Station, Washington, DC 20044
Robyn Interpreter/ Susan Montgomery, Montgomery & Montgomery & Interpreter, PLC,
4835 E. Cactus Rd., Suite 210, Scottsdale, AZ 85257
Don Ferguson, 1695 W. Bronco Drive, Camp Verde, AZ 86322
Janet Miller, Arizona Department of Water Resources
3550 N. Central, Phoenix, AZ 85012
Carrie J. Brennan, Assistant Attorney General, Arizona Attorney General's Office
1275 West Washington Street, Phoenix, AZ 85007
Peter J. Mollick, 3124 W. Sunnyside Ave., Phoenix, AZ 85029
Leroy Miller, 1733 W. Park Verde Road, Camp Verde, AZ 86322
Bradford Gordon, P.O. Box 830, Camp Verde, AZ 86322
Karen Phillips, 1861 N. River View Drive, Camp Verde, AZ 86322
Donna McQuality, Clerk of the Superior Court (e)